



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,177	06/24/2003	Altti Pekka Henrik Vetelainen	857.0036.UI(US)	1496
29683 7590 03/27/2007 HARRINGTON & SMITH, PC 4 RESEARCH DRIVE SHELTON, CT 06484-6212			EXAMINER ALLEN, WILLIAM J	
			ART UNIT 3625	PAPER NUMBER

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	03/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/606,177

Applicant(s)

VETELAINEN, ALTTI PEKKA
HENRIK

Examiner

William J. Allen

Art Unit

3625

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 December 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 and 16-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 and 16-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Prosecution History Summary

Claims 1-14 and 16-18 are pending and rejected as set forth below.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/11/07 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 and 16-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant's amendment has necessitated the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Objections

Claim 16 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. **Claims 8-9, 13-14, and 16-17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.**

Regarding claims 8-9, 13-14, and 17, these claims recite the term “the option is selectable” (8-9), “user selection of the option” (13-14), and “selection of the displayed option” (17); however, applicant’s recent amendment amended the recited option to read as an “icon”. Thereby, there is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the noted claims.

Regarding claim 16, makes references to multiple statutory classes of invention. A claim that purports to be within multiple statutory classes is ambiguous and is properly rejected under U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the invention (see Ex Parte Lyell).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. **Claims 1-14 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bishop et al. (US 20040243520) in view of Martinez et al. (US 20030159071).**

Regarding claim 1, Bishop teaches a system and method for completing electronic transactions utilizing a digital wallet interacting with merchant sites (see at least: abstract, 0015, Fig. 2 and 5-8). More specifically, Bishop teaches *displaying at least one data entry field to a user* during an electronic transaction (see at least: Fig. 8 (note #804), 0065). Bishop also teaches *displaying a user selectable icon* in the system tray that *provides, to the user, access to an electronic wallet application* *wallet application, for the transfer of data into the data entry field, in response to user selection of the icon* (see at least: 0015, 0057, 0059, 0061, 0063, 0065, Fig. 5 (#502), Fig. 8). Though Bishop teaches all of the above, Bishop does not expressly teach automatically displaying the selectable icon *in response to user selection of the data entry field*.

In the same field of endeavor, Martinez teaches a convenient and secure system and method for access to and population of password protected web site forms (see at least: abstract). More specifically, upon the selection or “activation” of a field in the web form, Martinez displays a selectable web pop-up dialogue box (see at least: 0021-0023, 0053, Fig. 4-6). The wallet pop-up

Art Unit: 3625

allows the user to enter a master key value and obtain access to the wallet application, thereby allowing the user to add new user names and/or passwords to the wallet application so that the wallet application can automatically populate such fields in web forms (see at least: 0056-0059). The Examiner additionally notes that the wallet pop-up box acts analogously to the icon of Bishop as it provides the user access to the wallet application. Thereby, Martinez effectively teaches automatically displaying a selectable graphic *in response to user selection of the data entry field*.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have modified the invention of Bishop to have included automatically displaying the selectable icon *in response to user selection of the data entry field* as taught by Martinez in order to provide quick and easy access to any number of password-protected computer applications and web sites in a secure fashion without adding to the user cognitive load through an overlaid wallet pop-up field, the wallet pop-up field providing access to the wallet application (see at least: Martinez, 0021).

Regarding claims 2-14, Bishop in view of Martinez teaches:

(2) *wherein the wallet application includes a secure collection of personal data* (see at least: Bishop, abstract, Fig. 1B, 0012, 0031; Martinez, abstract, 0021-0023).

(3) *wherein at least some of the personal data is used for completing an electronic commerce transaction* (see at least: Bishop, abstract, 0012-0013).

(4) *wherein the terminal is an Internet terminal* (see at least: Bishop, 0002, 0030, 0034).

(5) *wherein the terminal is a handheld mobile Internet terminal* (see at least: Bishop, 0030, 0097; Martinez, 0015,). Despite this teaching, the Examiner notes that it is not regarded as

inventive to merely make an old device portable or movable without producing any new and unexpected result [See: *In re Lindberg*, 93 USPQ 23 (CCPA)].

(6) *wherein the remote destination is an electronic commerce server* (see at least: Bishop, abstract, 0012-0013, 0060, Fig. 5-8).

(7) *wherein steps a), b), and c) are provided by a browser application* (see at least: Bishop, 0010, 0039, Fig. 5-8; Martinez, 0012, 0024, 0042, 0047).

(8) *wherein the option is a selectable device or icon* (see at least: Bishop, 0015, 0057, 0059, 0061, 0063, 0065, Fig. 5 (#502), Fig. 8).

(9) *further comprising the step of displaying the selectable device or icon in a position adjacent the data entry field*. The Examiner notes that claims that read on prior art except with regard to the positioning and arrangement of parts are held unpatentable if the shifting of those parts would not have modified the operation of the device [*In re Japikse*, 181 F.2d 1019, 86 USPQ 70 (CCPA 1950)].

(10) and (11) (see at least: Bishop, 0015, 0053, 0054, 0057, 0079, 0083). The Examiner notes that if the application of Bishop is not initialized (and thereby not enabled and available) the icon will not appear; therefore, the icon's display is *conditional* on whether the application has been initialized and therefore available. Martinez is applicable in a similar fashion. Despite this teaching, the Examiner further notes that these are conditional limitations. Language that suggest or makes optional but does not require steps to be performed or does not limit a claim to a particular structure does not limit the scope of a claim or claim limitation.

(12) *wherein step c) involves the successful completion of a security routine before access to the wallet application is granted* (see at least: Martinez, 0053-0059, Fig. 4 and 6).

Art Unit: 3625

(13) *automatically transferring data from the electronic wallet application into the data entry field, in response to the user selection of the option* (see at least: Bishop, abstract, 0015, Fig. 2 and 5-8; Martinez, abstract, 0053-0059).

(14) *providing for user selectable transfer of data from the electronic wallet application into the data entry field, in response to the user selection of the option* (see at least: Bishop, 0065).

Regarding claims 16 and 17, these claims closely parallel claim 1 and are thereby rejected for at least the same rationale.

5. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bishop in view of Martinez in further view of Atsmon (US 6607136).

Regarding claim 18, Bishop teaches a system and method for completing electronic transactions utilizing a digital wallet interacting with merchant sites (see at least: abstract, 0015, Fig. 2 and 5-8). More specifically, Bishop teaches *displaying at least one data entry field to a user* during an electronic transaction (see at least: Fig. 8 (note #804), 0065). Bishop also teaches *displaying a user selectable icon in the system tray that provides, to the user, access to an electronic wallet application wallet application, for the transfer of data into the data entry field, in response to user selection of the icon* (see at least: 0015, 0057, 0059, 0061, 0063, 0065, Fig. 5 (#502), Fig. 8). Though Bishop teaches all of the above, Bishop does not expressly teach automatically displaying the selectable icon *in response to user selection of the data entry field*.

In the same field of endeavor, Martinez teaches a convenient and secure system and method for access to and population of password protected web site forms (see at least: abstract). More specifically, upon the selection or “activation” of a field in the web form, Martinez displays a selectable web pop-up dialogue box (see at least: 0021-0023, 0053, Fig. 4-6). The wallet pop-up allows the user to enter to enter a master key value and obtain access to the wallet application, thereby allowing the user to add new user names and/or passwords to the wallet application so that the wallet application can automatically populate such fields in web forms (see at least: 0056-0059). The Examiner additionally notes that the wallet pop-up box acts analogously to the icon of Bishop as it provides the user access to the wallet application. Thereby, Martinez

Art Unit: 3625

effectively teaches automatically displaying a selectable graphic *in response to user selection of the data entry field*.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have modified the invention of Bishop to have included automatically displaying the selectable icon *in response to user selection of the data entry field* as taught by Martinez in order to provide quick and easy access to any number of password-protected computer applications and web sites in a secure fashion without adding to the user cognitive load through an overlaid wallet pop-up field, the wallet pop-up field providing access to the wallet application (see at least: Martinez, 0021).

Additionally, though Bishop does indeed teach the enablement of a wallet application and the subsequent display of a system tray icon to allow easy access to the wallet for use in a browser (see at least: 0056-0057, 0059), Bishop lacks an explicit statement of *automatically detecting whether a wallet application is enabled and displaying an icon, for user selection, if a wallet application is enabled, and not displaying the icon if the wallet application is not enabled*. It is of important note, however, that one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that important functionalities associated with the Windows Operating System exist specifically regarding icons appearing in the system tray. A user of such systems traditionally has the ability to enable/disable various applications, and subsequently affect the appearance of associated system tray icons when available. To reiterate, though these functionalities are typical of the Windows OS and are implicitly implied by Bishop, there is merely no explicit statement of such functions.

Art Unit: 3625

In the same field of endeavor, Atsmon teaches the use of a smart e-wallet system for use in electronic transactions (see at least: col. 46 lines 6-19, col. 66 lines 30-40, Fig. 29). More specifically, Atsmon teaches the use of a system tray icon when the application is active. The user of the e-wallet application can turn off or close the application, effectively causing the icon to disappear (see at least col. 38 lines 7-9, col. 69 lines 1-13). In other words, when the user opens or closes (i.e. enables or disables) the application, the CPU automatically detects that the application has been enabled or is not enabled and displays (or does not display) the icon accordingly. Thereby, Atsmon teaches *automatically detecting whether a wallet application is enabled and displaying an icon, for user selection, if a wallet application is enabled, and not displaying the icon if the wallet application is not enabled.*

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have modified the invention to have included *automatically detecting whether a wallet application is enabled and displaying an icon, for user selection, if a wallet application is enabled, and not displaying the icon if the wallet application is not enabled* as taught by Atsmon in order to provide a system that simplifies the user experience while making online shopping faster and more convenient (see at least: col. 46 lines 17-19, col. 66 lines 30-34).

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- US 20020016749 discloses various methods and systems for network based electronic purchasing system
- US 20010049635 discloses a user interface and associated data source
- US 6016484 discloses a system, method and article of manufacture for network electronic payment instrument and certification of payment and credit collection utilizing a payment

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William J. Allen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1443. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeff A. Smith can be reached on (571) 272-6763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

William J. Allen
Patent Examiner
March 22, 2007


MATTHEW S. GART
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3800